





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. TRACEY C. SLEMKER 534128-002-C 08/947,668 10/09/1997 6180 EXAMINER 8698 7590 02/19/2004 STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP WILLSE, DAVID H 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017 3738 54 DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			(Applicantia)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		08/947,668	SLEMKER, TRACEY C.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Dave Willse	3738
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become the properties.	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>29 January 2004</u> .	
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-final.	
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 43-48,50-55 and 64-83 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) 43 and 64-78 Claim(s) 81 and 82 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 44-48,50-55,79,80 and 83 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
Applicat	ion Papers		•
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachmer	at(s)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 08/947,668

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 44-48, 50-55, and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere does the original disclosure characterize the sleeve as being "non-porous" (e.g., amended claim 44, line 3). Although the specification states that "[t]he silicone sleeve helps protect the limb and provides a seal between the limb and the socket when the limb is tightly fitted in the socket" (US 5,702,489: column 5, lines 55-58), such is certainly true of some *porous* sleeves, as seen from Fishman et al., US 5,007,937, for example. The very last limitation in claims 44, 50, and 83 is also inaccurate because there are large air pockets in chambers 20 and 34, both of which are within the socket 12 (US 5,702,489: Figure 1; column 4, lines 15-18 and 30-34); said limitation is not the equivalent of that set forth in the original disclosure (US

The Applicant has failed to specifically point out the support in the original disclosure for each of the newly presented claim limitations (MPEP 714.02). Because of the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting the claims, it is noted that prior art may be applicable under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) once the aforementioned problems under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, are corrected.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5,702,489: column 6, lines 12-13).

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 08/947,668

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 79 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Toles, US 980,457 which discloses a prosthetic limb socket 12 and 16; a prosthetic limb assembly 2 and 20; a base 18 including channels 19' and a central duct; an attachment mechanism 21-24 facilitating releasable attachment of the prosthetic limb assembly to an exterior distal end of the prosthetic limb socket (page 2, lines 3-18); and a valve 15. (The socket distal end is *capable* of being released from the prosthetic limb assembly by threadedly disengaging the nut 22 from the screw 20.) Regarding claim 80, there are annular projections at either end of the base 18 (Figure 1; page 1, lines 105-106; etc.).

Claims 81-82 are allowed.

The Applicant's remarks have been considered. The Applicant argues that in the Toles device, "it is unlikely that it would be possible to provide a seal between the deformable sack (12) and the tube (18)" (Paper No. 26: page 22, lines 8-10). In the examiner's opinion, a seal must be inherent since otherwise a partial vacuum would not be formed in the sack (page 1, lines 80-81 and 101-104; page 2, lines 62-67; etc.). As for the limitations pertaining to the exterior distal end of the socket, the Applicant ignores how the grounds of rejection associates elements in the Toles invention with the socket as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general

Art Unit: 3738

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Dave Willse
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3738

Page 4